



Evaluating and Predicting Actual Test Coverage

Mark Timmer

June 25, 2008

Supervised by Mariëlle Stoelinga, Arend Rensink and Jaco van de Pol

Contents

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries
- Limitations of potential coverage
- 2 Evaluating actual coverage
 - Conditional branching probabilities
 - Coverage probabilities
 - Fault coverage
 - Actual coverage
- Operation of the second sec
 - Actual coverage of test cases
 - Expected actual coverage
- 4 Test suites
- 5 Conclusions and future work

Motivation for research on testing

- Software is getting more and more complex
- Bugs cost a lot of money
- Testing is an important validation technique in software development

Motivation for research on testing

- Software is getting more and more complex
- Bugs cost a lot of money
- Testing is an important validation technique in software development

Motivation for research on test coverage

- Testing is inherently incomplete
- A notion of *quality* of a test suite is necessary
- Quantitative evaluation: how good is a test suite?
- 'Amount' of specification / implementation examined by a test suite

Intuition about coverage



Intuition about coverage



Intuition about coverage



- Statement coverage
- Condition coverage
- Path coverage

- Statement coverage
- Condition coverage
- Path coverage

Disadvantages: - all faults are considered of equal severity

- Statement coverage
- Condition coverage
- Path coverage

Disadvantages: - all faults are considered of equal severity

- syntactic point of view

- Statement coverage
- Condition coverage
- Path coverage

Disadvantages: - all faults are considered of equal severity

- syntactic point of view
- different implementation, different coverage

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Quality: $\frac{5}{6} \cdot 10 = 8.33$

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Quality: $\frac{5}{6} \cdot 10 = 8.33$

Recipe 2: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots
- Slice a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Quality: $\frac{5}{6} \cdot 10 = 8.33$

Recipe 2: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots
- Slice a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Quality: $\frac{5}{6} \cdot 10 = 8.33$

Recipe 2: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots
- Slice a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Quality:
$$\frac{5}{7} \cdot 10 = 7.14$$

Recipe 1: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots and a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Recipe 2: vegetable soup

- Chop an union
- Slice a few carrots
- Slice a mushroom
- Boil one liter of water
- Add some Maggi
- Put everything in the water
- Wait a while

Quality: $\frac{5}{6} \cdot 10 = 8.33$ Quality: $\frac{5}{7} \cdot 10 = 7.14$

Semantic point of view: how does it taste

- Statement coverage
- Condition coverage
- Path coverage

Disadvantages: - all faults are considered of equal severity

- syntactic point of view
- different implementation, different coverage

Starting point for my work: semantic coverage

Previous work by Brandán Briones, Brinksma and Stoelinga

- System considered as black box
- Semantic point of view
- Error weights

Preliminaries – Labeled transition systems (LTSs)

Definition LTSs

An LTS is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = \langle S, s^0, L, \Delta \rangle$, with

- S a set of states
- s⁰ the initial state
- L a set of actions (partitioned into *input* and *output* actions)
- Δ the transition relation (assumed deterministic)



Preliminaries – Labeled transition systems (LTSs)

Definition LTSs

An LTS is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = \langle S, s^0, L, \Delta \rangle$, with

- S a set of states
- s⁰ the initial state
- L a set of actions (partitioned into *input* and *output* actions)
- Δ the transition relation (assumed deterministic)



Specification:



Specification:







Specification:



• Perform an input





Specification:



- Perform an input
- Observe all outputs





Specification:



- Perform an input
- Observe all outputs
- Always stop after an error









$$f(coffee!) = 10$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} f(coffee!) &= 10 \\ f(10ct? \ tea!) &= 0 \end{array}$$



f (coffee!)	= 10
f(10ct? tea!)	= 0
f(10ct? coffee!)	= 5
$f(10ct? \delta)$	= 4



f(coffee!)	= 10
f(10ct? tea!)	= 0
f(10ct? coffee!)	= 5
$f(10ct? \delta)$	= 4
f(10ct? tea! 10ct? coffee!)	= 3



f(coffee!)	= 10
f(10ct? tea!)	= 0
f(10ct? coffee!)	= 5
$f(10ct? \delta)$	= 4
f(10ct? tea! 10ct? coffee!)	= 3
$f(10ct? tea! 20ct? \delta)$	= 3
f(10ct? tea! 20ct? tea!)	= 2



f(coffee!)	= 10
f(10ct? tea!)	= 0
f(10ct? coffee!)	= 5
$f(10ct? \delta)$	= 4
f(10ct? tea! 10ct? coffee!)	= 3
f(10ct? tea! 20ct? δ)	= 3
f(10ct? tea! 20ct? tea!)	= 2

Restriction on weighted fault models

$$0 < \sum_{\sigma \in L^*} f(\sigma) < \infty$$





$f(10ct? \delta)$	= 4
f(10ct? coffee!)	= 5
$f(10ct? tea! 20ct? \delta)$	= 3
f(10ct? tea! 20ct? tea!)	= 2



$f(10ct? \delta)$	= 4
f(10ct? coffee!)	= 5
$f(10ct? tea! 20ct? \delta)$	= 3
f(10ct? tea! 20ct? tea!)	= 2
Preliminaries – Weighted fault models (WFMs)

lf





Preliminaries – Weighted fault models (WFMs)

10ct? δ coffee! tea! fail fail 20ct? 5 4 δ coffee! tea! fail fail pass 3 2

totCov = 150

then

lf

absPotCov = 4 + 5 + 3 + 2 = 14

Preliminaries – Weighted fault models (WFMs)

10ct? δ tea! coffee! fail fail 20ct? 5 4 δ coffee! tea! fail fail pass 3 2

totCov = 150

then

lf

$$absPotCov = 4 + 5 + 3 + 2 = 14$$

 $relPotCov = \frac{14}{150} = 0.09$





Potential coverage

absPotCov(f, t) = 10 + 15 = 25



Potential coverage

absPotCov(f, t) = 10 + 15 = 25

- Not all faults can be detected at once
- Single executions cover only some faults
- Executing more often could increase coverage
- How many executions are needed?
- Necessary to include probabilities!



Potential coverage

absPotCov(f, t) = 10 + 15 = 25

- Not all faults can be detected at once
- Single executions cover only some faults
- Executing more often could increase coverage
- How many executions are needed?
- Necessary to include probabilities!



Potential coverage

absPotCov(f, t) = 10 + 15 = 25

- Not all faults can be detected at once
- Single executions cover only some faults
- Executing more often could increase coverage
- How many executions are needed?
- Necessary to include probabilities!



Potential coverage

absPotCov(f, t) = 10 + 15 = 25

- Not all faults can be detected at once
- Single executions cover only some faults
- Executing more often could increase coverage
- How many executions are needed?
- Necessary to include probabilities!

Contents

1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries
- Limitations of potential coverage
- 2 Evaluating actual coverage
 - Conditional branching probabilities
 - Coverage probabilities
 - Fault coverage
 - Actual coverage
- 3 Predicting actual coverage
 - Actual coverage of test cases
 - Expected actual coverage
- 4 Test suites
- 5 Conclusions and future work

- Probabilistic execution model:
 - Branching probabilities (p^{br})
 - Conditional branching probabilities (p^{cbr})

- Probabilistic execution model:
 - Branching probabilities (p^{br})
 - Conditional branching probabilities (p^{cbr})
- ② Evaluating actual coverage:
 - Calculating the actual coverage of a given execution or sequence of executions

- Probabilistic execution model:
 - Branching probabilities (p^{br})
 - Conditional branching probabilities (p^{cbr})
- ② Evaluating actual coverage:

Calculating the actual coverage of a given execution or sequence of executions

In Predicting actual coverage:

Predicting the actual coverage a test case or test suite yields.



A fault is *covered* by an execution if the execution gives us information about whether the fault is present or absent



A fault is *covered* by an execution if the execution gives us information about whether the fault is present or absent



A fault is *covered* by an execution if the execution gives us information about whether the fault is present or absent



Fault coverage

A fault is *covered* by an execution if the execution gives us information about whether the fault is present or absent

















Conditional branching probabilities p^{cbr}



 Conditional branching probability: 0.4 (P[coffee! produced from blue | coffee! possible from blue])





- Conditional branching probability: 0.4 (P[coffee! produced from blue | coffee! possible from blue])
- Observation: 5 times coffee! tea!







- Conditional branching probability: 0.4 (P[coffee! produced from blue | coffee! possible from blue])
- Observation: 5 times coffee! tea!
- Probability of not even one *coffee!*:

$$(1 - p^{cbr})^5 = 0.6^5 = 0.08$$





- Conditional branching probability: 0.4 (P[coffee! produced from blue | coffee! possible from blue])
- Observation: 5 times coffee! tea!
- Probability of not even one *coffee!*:

$$(1 - p^{cbr})^5 = 0.6^5 = 0.08$$

• Probability of at least one *coffee!*:

$$1 - (1 - p^{cbr})^5 = 1 - 0.6^5 = 0.92$$





- Conditional branching probability: 0.4 (P[coffee! produced from blue | coffee! possible from blue])
- Observation: 5 times coffee! tea!
- Probability of not even one *coffee!*:

$$(1 - p^{cbr})^5 = 0.6^5 = 0.08$$

• Probability of at least one *coffee!*:

$$1 - (1 - \rho^{cbr})^5 = 1 - 0.6^5 = 0.92$$

• Coverage probability:

$$p^{cov} = 1 - (1 - p^{cbr})^k$$

- If a fault is shown present, it is *completely covered*
- If a fault is shown absent, it is *partially covered*. The coverage probability denotes the fraction.

- If a fault is shown present, it is completely covered
- If a fault is shown absent, it is *partially covered*. The coverage probability denotes the fraction.



- If a fault is shown present, it is completely covered
- If a fault is shown absent, it is *partially covered*. The coverage probability denotes the fraction.



- If a fault is shown present, it is completely covered
- If a fault is shown absent, it is *partially covered*. The coverage probability denotes the fraction.



Fault coverage *coffee! coffee!* 0

Fault coverage *tea! tea!* 15

- If a fault is shown present, it is *completely covered*
- If a fault is shown absent, it is *partially covered*. The coverage probability denotes the fraction.



$$p^{cov}(coffee! coffee!)$$

1 - (1 - 0.4)³ = 0.78

Fault coverage *coffee! coffee!* 7.8

Fault coverage *tea! tea!* 0












Actual coverage of an execution or sequence of executions: The sum of all fault coverages



faultCov(b! a? d!) = 4 faultCov(b! a? c!) = 4.8 faultCov(d! a? b!) =

Actual coverage of an execution or sequence of executions: The sum of all fault coverages



faultCov(b! a? d!) = 4faultCov(b! a? c!) = 4.8faultCov(d! a? b!) = 0

Actual coverage of an execution or sequence of executions: The sum of all fault coverages



 $\begin{array}{rcl} faultCov(b! \ a? \ d!) &=& 4\\ faultCov(b! \ a? \ c!) &=& 4.8\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ b!) &=& 0\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ d!) &=& \end{array}$

Actual coverage of an execution or sequence of executions: The sum of all fault coverages



 $\begin{array}{rcl} faultCov(b! \ a? \ d!) &=& 4\\ faultCov(b! \ a? \ c!) &=& 4.8\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ b!) &=& 0\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ d!) &=& 0 \end{array}$

20 / 37

Actual coverage of an execution or sequence of executions: The sum of all fault coverages



 $\begin{array}{rcl} faultCov(b! \ a? \ d!) &=& 4\\ faultCov(b! \ a? \ c!) &=& 4.8\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ b!) &=& 0\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ d!) &=& 0\\ faultCov(c!) &=& \end{array}$

20 / 37



Actual coverage of an execution or sequence of executions: The sum of all fault coverages



 $\begin{array}{rcl} faultCov(b! \ a? \ d!) &=& 4\\ faultCov(b! \ a? \ c!) &=& 4.8\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ b!) &=& 0\\ faultCov(d! \ a? \ d!) &=& 0\\ faultCov(c!) &=& 1.4 \end{array}$

absCov = 10.2

Contents

Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries
- Limitations of potential coverage
- 2 Evaluating actual coverage
 - Conditional branching probabilities
 - Coverage probabilities
 - Fault coverage
 - Actual coverage
- 3 Predicting actual coverage
 - Actual coverage of test cases
 - Expected actual coverage
- 4 Test suites
- 5 Conclusions and future work

















Branching probabilities

Branching probabilities

The *branching probabilities* p^{br} describe how the implementation is expected to behave



Branching probabilities

Branching probabilities

The *branching probabilities* p^{br} describe how the implementation is expected to behave



Branching probabilities

Branching probabilities

The *branching probabilities* p^{br} describe how the implementation is expected to behave



Trace occurrence probabilities and actual coverage



Trace occurrence probabilities and actual coverage



 $p^{to}(coffee! tea!) = 0.75 \cdot 0.98 = 0.735$

Trace occurrence probabilities and actual coverage



 $p^{to}(coffee! \ tea!) = 0.75 \cdot 0.98 = 0.735$ $p^{to}(coffee! \ coffee!) = 0.75 \cdot 0.02 = 0.015$ $p^{to}(tea! \ tea!) = 0.75 \cdot 0.02 = 0.005$ $p^{to}(tea! \ coffee!) = 0.25 \cdot 0.98 = 0.245$



• Suppose we perform three executions of



• Possible observation: [blue, blue, red]



- Possible observation: [blue, blue, red]
- Actual coverage:



- Possible observation: [blue, blue, red]
- Actual coverage:

$$15 + (1 - (1 - 0.4)^2) \cdot 10$$



- Possible observation: [blue, blue, red]
- Actual coverage:

$$15 + (1 - (1 - 0.4)^2) \cdot 10 = 15 + 6.4 = 21.4$$

• Suppose we perform three executions of



- Possible observation: [blue, blue, red]
- Actual coverage:

$$15 + (1 - (1 - 0.4)^2) \cdot 10 = 15 + 6.4 = 21.4$$

• Many observations possible: $O(|exec|^n)$

$$\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{actCov}_{n}) = \sum_{\sigma a \in \operatorname{err}_{t}} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^{n}) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} p^{to}(\sigma)^{k} (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^{k} \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^{k})) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{actCov}_{n}) = \sum_{\sigma a \in \operatorname{err}_{t}} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^{n}) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^{k} (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^{k} \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^{k})) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$
$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 1: presence shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{observe } \sigma a]$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 1: presence shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{not observe } \sigma a]$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 1: presence shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{never observe } \sigma a]$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 1: presence shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{ever observe } \sigma a]$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
Absence shown 0...n times
$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

Coverage probabilities





- Conditional branching probability: 0.4 (P[coffee! produced from blue | coffee! possible from blue])
- Observation: 5 times coffee! tea!
- Probability of not even one *coffee!*:

$$(1 - p^{cbr})^5 = 0.6^5 = 0.08$$

• Probability of at least one *coffee!*:

$$1 - (1 - p^{\textit{cbr}})^5 = 1 - 0.6^5 = 0.92$$

Coverage probability:

$$p^{cov} = 1 - (1 - p^{cbr})^k$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
Absence shown 0...n times
$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{exactly } k \text{ times } \sigma]$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
$$\mathbb{P}[k \text{ times } \sigma]$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{the others not } \sigma]$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
All possible orderings
$$\left(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(actCov_n) = \sum_{\sigma a \in err_t} f(\sigma a) \cdot \left((1 - (1 - p^{to}(\sigma a))^n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^{to}(\sigma)^k (1 - p^{to}(\sigma))^{n-k} \cdot (1 - p^{br}(a \mid \sigma))^k \cdot (1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k)) \right)$$
Case 2: presence not shown
$$\mathbb{P}[\text{no presence shown}]$$

$$(1 - (1 - p^{cbr}(a \mid \sigma)^k))$$



$$10 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} (1 - (1 - 0.75 \cdot 0.02)^5) + \\ \sum_{k=0}^5 {\binom{5}{k}} 0.75^k \cdot (1 - 0.75)^{5-k} \cdot (1 - 0.02)^k \cdot (1 - (1 - 0.4)^k) \right) + \\ 15 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} (1 - (1 - 0.25 \cdot 0.02)^5) + \\ \sum_{k=0}^5 {\binom{5}{k}} 0.25^k \cdot (1 - 0.25)^{5-k} \cdot (1 - 0.02)^k \cdot (1 - (1 - 0.4)^k) \right) \\ = 14.7 \end{array}$$



 $\mathbb{E}(actCov_1) = 4.6$

 $\mathbb{E}(actCov_5) = 14.7$



$\mathbb{E}(actCov_1)$	= 4.6
$\mathbb{E}(actCov_2)$	= 8.2
$\mathbb{E}(actCov_3)$	= 10.9
$\mathbb{E}(actCov_4)$	= 13.0
$\mathbb{E}(actCov_5)$	= 14.7



Asympotical behaviour of actual coverage



Asympotical behaviour of actual coverage



$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}(absCov_n)=absPotCov$$

Contents

Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries
- Limitations of potential coverage
- 2 Evaluating actual coverage
 - Conditional branching probabilities
 - Coverage probabilities
 - Fault coverage
 - Actual coverage
- 3 Predicting actual coverage
 - Actual coverage of test cases
 - Expected actual coverage

4 Test suites

Conclusions and future work

- Very similar to actual coverage for test cases: sum all the fault coverages
- Take into account in how many test cases an erroneous trace is contained
- Again, an efficient formula for the expected actual coverage exists

```
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}(absCov_n)=absPotCov
```

Contents

Introduction

- Motivation
- Preliminaries
- Limitations of potential coverage
- 2 Evaluating actual coverage
 - Conditional branching probabilities
 - Coverage probabilities
 - Fault coverage
 - Actual coverage
- 3 Predicting actual coverage
 - Actual coverage of test cases
 - Expected actual coverage
- 4 Test suites
- 5 Conclusions and future work

Conclusions

Main results – what you saw today

- New notion of coverage: actual coverage
- Evaluating actual coverage of a given execution
- Predicting actual coverage of a test case or test suite

Conclusions

Main results – what you saw today

- New notion of coverage: actual coverage
- Evaluating actual coverage of a given execution
- Predicting actual coverage of a test case or test suite

Main results - what I did not show

- Probabilistic fault automata
- Methods to derive *p*^{cbr} and *p*^{br}
- Mathematical proofs
- Detailed example
- Extra features:
 - Risk-based testing
 - Alternative approach to coverage probabilities
 - Approximations

Directions for future work

- Validation of the framework: tool support, case studies
- Dependencies between errors
- Accuracy of approximations
- On-the-fly test derivation



